# REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH ORDINANCES/POLICIES

# FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF TERRACE HILL TENTATIVE MAP PDS2015-TM-5599, PDS2015-ER-15-14-001

March 29, 2018

			<u>E</u> – Does the proposed project conform to the
Habitat Loss P	ermit/Coastal	Sage Scrub	Ordinance findings?
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT ⊠
Discussion:			
the Multiple Sp	ecies Conserv	ation Progra	rovements are located within the boundaries on. Therefore, conformance to the Habitat Los dings is not required.
			ect conform to the Multiple Species gation Ordinance?
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
Discussion:			
within the bou	indaries of th e Multiple Sp	e Multiple S ecies Conse	provements related to the proposed project are Species Conservation Program. The project roation Program and the Biological Mitigation dings dated May 19, 2017.
III. GROUNDW the San Diego			es the project comply with the requirements of nance?
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
Discussion:			

The project will obtain its water supply from the Helix Water District which obtains water from surface reservoirs and/or imported sources. The project will not use any

groundwater for any purpose, including irrigation or domestic supply.

# **IV. RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE** - Does the project comply with:

The wetland and wetland buffer regulations (Sections 86.604(a) and (b)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Floodways and Floodplain Fringe section (Sections 86.604(c) and (d)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Steep Slope section (Section 86.604(e))?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Sensitive Habitat Lands section (Section 86.604(f)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites section (Section 86.604(g)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT

#### Discussion:

#### Wetland and Wetland Buffers:

The site contains no wetland habitats as defined by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance. The site does not have a substratum of predominately undrained hydric soils, the land does not support, even periodically, hydric plants, nor does the site have a substratum that is non-soil and is saturated with water or covered by water at some time during the growing season of each year. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(a) and (b) of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

# Floodways and Floodplain Fringe:

The project is not located near any floodway or floodplain fringe area as defined in the resource protection ordinance, nor is it near a watercourse plotted on any official County floodway or floodplain map. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(c) and (d) of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

## Steep Slopes:

The average slope for the property is less than 25 percent gradient. Steep slopes with a gradient of 25 percent or greater are present on approximately seven percent of the project site. Per the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance (RPO), placement of steep slopes is not required because the steep slopes do not exceed 10 percent or more of the lot. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(e) of the RPO.

### Sensitive Habitats:

No sensitive habitat lands were identified on the project site. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Section 86.604(f) of the RPO.

# Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites:

The property has been surveyed by a County of San Diego approved archaeologist, Jerry Shaefer, Ph.D., and it has been determined that the property does not contain any archaeological and/or historical sites. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Section 86.604(g) of the RPO.

<u>V. STORMWATER ORDINANCE (WPO)</u> - Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Watershed Protection, Stormwater Management and Discharge Control Ordinance (WPO)?

YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE
$\boxtimes$		

#### Discussion:

The project Storm Water Quality Management Plan (SWQMP) has been reviewed and is found to be complete and in compliance with the WPO.

<u>VI. NOISE ORDINANCE</u> – Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan and the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance?

YES .	NO	NOT APPLICABLE

#### Discussion:

The proposal would not expose people to nor generate potentially significant noise levels which exceed the allowable limits of the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan, County of San Diego Noise Ordinance, and other applicable local, State, and Federal noise control regulations.

The project is a nine lot single-family residential subdivision. The project is subject to the County Noise Element which requires proposed exterior noise sensitive land uses to a noise level of 60 dBA CNEL and interior noise level requirement of 45 dBA. Primary sources to potentially impact the site would be from traffic noise from Pepper Drive. Based on the noise report, worst-case future traffic noise impacts would be as high as 58.4 dBA CNEL at Lot 2 which is nearest to the Pepper Drive roadway. All other lots were evaluated and would be exposed to lower levels. Additionally, additional project related traffic contributions to nearby roadways are considered minimal. No off-site direct and cumulative noise impacts are anticipated. Based on the projects location and layout, the tentative subdivision demonstrates conformance with the County Noise Element.

The project is also subject to the County Noise Ordinance which governs noise level associated with temporary construction noise. Grading equipment would be spread out over the project site from a range as far as 400 feet away. Combined grading operations will be more than 100 feet away from the adjacent property lines. Construction equipment near the property lines will be intermittent and limited to the cutting of slopes and final pad

preparation. Grading activities with the distance of over 90 feet are not anticipated to exceed the 75 dBA eight hour average requirement and would not require any mitigation measures. Therefore, the project would be consistent with County noise standards.